arXiv:1109.5142v1 [math.AP] 23 Sep 2011

Liouville-type theorems for stable and finite Morse index solutions of a quasi-linear elliptic equation

Mostafa Fazly [1]
Department of Mathematics
University of British Columbia, Vancouver, B.C. Canada V6T 1Z2
e-mail: fazly@math.ubc.ca

Abstract

We establish Liouville-type theorems for stable and finite Morse index weak solutions of $-\Delta_p u = f(x)F(u)$ in $\mathbb{R}^N$. For a general non-linearity $F \in C^1(\mathbb{R})$ and $f(x) = |x|^\alpha$, we prove such theorems in dimensions $N \le \frac{4(p+\alpha)}{p-1} + p$, for bounded radial stable solutions. Then, we give some point-wise estimates for not necessarily bounded solutions.

Also, similar theorems will be proved for both radial finite Morse index (not necessarily bounded) and stable (not necessarily radial nor bounded) solutions with three different non-linearities $F(u) = e^u$; $u^q, q > p-1$ and $-u^q, q < 0$, known as the Gelfand, the Lane-Emden and the negative exponent non-linearities, respectively. The remarkable fact is that the power profile $f(x) \simeq |x|^\alpha$ will push the critical dimension. This paper is a continuation of [7, 18].

2010 Mathematics Subject Classification. 35B53; 35B08; 35B65; 35J70.

Key words. Liouville-type theorems, Entire stable solutions, Finite Morse index solution, Decay estimates, p-Laplacian operator.

1 Introduction and main results

We prove Liouville-type theorems for $C^{1,\gamma}(\mathbb{R}^N)$ weak solutions $\gamma \in (0,1)$ of the following equation for different classes of non-linearities:

$$-\Delta_p u = f(x)F(u) \quad \text{in } \mathbb{R}^N, \tag{1}$$

where $p \ge 2$, $F \in C^1(\mathbb{R})$ and $f \in L^1_{loc}(\mathbb{R}^N)$. The notation Δ_p stands for the p-Laplacian operator given by $\Delta_p u = \operatorname{div}(|\nabla u|^{p-2}\nabla u)$. Since Δ_p has variational structure, we can associate the following energy functional to (1),

$$I(u) := \int_{\mathbb{R}^N} \frac{1}{p}|\nabla u|^p - f(x)\mathcal{F}(u),$$

where $\mathcal{F}(t) = \int_0^t F(s)ds$. Here we have some definitions.

Definition 1. *We call $u \in C^{1,\gamma}(\mathbb{R}^N)$*

- *weak solution of (1), if for all $\phi \in C^1_c(\mathbb{R}^N)$ the following holds:*

$$\int_{\mathbb{R}^N} |\nabla u|^{p-2}\nabla u \cdot \nabla\phi = \int_{\mathbb{R}^N} f(x)F(u)\phi. \tag{2}$$

---

[1] Research supported by UBC Graduate Fellowship and is part of the author's Ph.D. dissertation in preparation under the supervision of N. Ghoussoub.

- *stable solution of (1) if not only u is a solution of (1), but also the second variation of the associated energy functional is non-negative. This means for $\phi \in C_c^1(\mathbb{R}^N)$, we have*

  $$I_{uu}(\phi) := \int_{\mathbb{R}^N} |\nabla u|^{p-2}|\nabla \phi|^2 + (p-2)\int_{\mathbb{R}^N} |\nabla u|^{p-4}|\nabla u \cdot \nabla \phi|^2 - \int_{\mathbb{R}^N} f(x)F'(u)\phi^2 \geq 0.$$

  In particular, for $p \geq 2$ we have

  $$\int_{\mathbb{R}^N} f(x)F'(u)\phi^2 \leq (p-1)\int_{\mathbb{R}^N} |\nabla u|^{p-2}|\nabla \phi|^2 \qquad \forall \phi \in C_c^1(\mathbb{R}^N). \tag{3}$$

- *stable outside a compact set $\Sigma \subset \mathbb{R}^N$ if $I_{uu}(\phi) \geq 0$ for all $\phi \in C_c^1(\mathbb{R}^N \setminus \Sigma)$, also u has a Morse index equal to $m \geq 1$ if m is the maximal dimension of a subspace X_m of $C_c^1(\mathbb{R}^N)$ such that $I_{uu}(\phi) < 0$ for all $\phi \in X_m \setminus \{0\}$.*

Note that if u is of Morse index m, then there exist $\phi_1, ..., \phi_m$ such that $X_m = Span\{\phi_1, ..., \phi_m\} \subset C_c^1(\mathbb{R}^N)$ and $I_{uu}(\phi) < 0$ for all $\phi \in X_m \setminus \{0\}$. So, for all $\phi \in C_c^1(\mathbb{R}^N \setminus \Sigma)$ we have $I_{uu}(\phi) \geq 0$, where $\Sigma = \cup_{i=1}^m supp(\phi_i)$. Therefore, u is stable outside a compact set $\Sigma \subset \mathbb{R}^N$.

In the majority of this paper, we assume $f(x) = |x|^\alpha$. This power weight was considered by M. Hénon, [25], in equation $-\Delta u = |x|^\alpha u^{q-1}$ for $\alpha > 0$ to model and study spherically symmetric clusters of stars and is now known as the Hénon equation. For $\alpha < 0$, this equation is called Hénon-Hardy equation.

Ten years later, Ni in [26] explored properties of positive solutions of this equation on the unit ball and observed the fact that the power profile $f(x) = |x|^\alpha$ enlarges considerably the range of solvability beyond the classical critical threshold, i.e., $q < 2^*$ to $q < 2^* + \frac{2\alpha}{N-2} =: q_c(\alpha)$. The same type phenomenon has been observed in the notion of stability by Esposito [12, 13], Esposito-Ghoussoub-Gou [14, 15], Guo-Pan-Ward [24] and Cowan-Ghoussoub [6].

On the other hand, Gidas and Spruck in [21, 22] proved Liouville-type theorems in the absence of stability for some ranges of α and q on the whole space $\mathbb{R}^N$ and conjectured that there is no positive solution for all $q < q_c(\alpha)$ and $\alpha > -2$. For $\alpha > 0$, this has been open so far. Very recently, in [27], Phan and Souplet –among other results– have proved the conjecture in dimension $N = 3$ for bounded solutions and in [18] this conjecture has been solved for finite Morse index solutions in dimensions $N \geq 3$ and for bounded solutions of corresponding systems in dimension $N = 3$.

In this note, we examine the impact of this type of profile functions, $f(x) = |x|^\alpha$, on stable solutions of weighted quasi-linear equation (1) with various non-linearities. We first explore properties of bounded radial stable solutions of (1) with a general non-linearity $F \in C^1(\mathbb{R})$ and besides some point-wise estimates, we prove a Liouville-type theorem by following ideas given in [1, 5, 31]. Then, applying Harnack's inequality, we prove such theorems for radial finite Morse index solutions of (1) with three different non-linearities as well as some non-existence theorems for not necessarily radial nor bounded stable solutions. Our methods here are methods developed in [14, 15, 16, 17]. Finally, some decay and point-wise estimates will be proved. Throughout the paper, we assume that $\alpha \geq 0$, $N > p \geq 2$ and solutions of (1) are positive for power non-linearities. However, most of proofs can be adapted for $\alpha + p > 0$ and for sign changing solutions of (1) if $F(u) = |u|^{q-1}u$ for $q > p - 1$.

1.1 Main results.

In the following theorem, we prove a Liouville-type theorem for radial solutions of quasi-linear equation (1) with a general non-linearity $F \in C^1(\mathbb{R})$.

Theorem 1.1. *Let $N > 1 - \alpha + \frac{\alpha}{p}$, $F \in C^1(\mathbb{R})$ and u be a non-constant bounded radial stable weak solution of (1). Then, $N > \frac{4(p+\alpha)}{p-1} + p$ and*

$$|u(r) - u_\infty| \geq Cr^{\frac{1}{p}\left(1+\frac{\alpha}{p}\right)\left(p+2-\frac{p(N+\alpha)}{p+\alpha}+2\sqrt{\frac{1}{p+\alpha}\left(\frac{p(N-1)}{p-1}+\alpha\right)}\right)}, \quad \forall r \geq 1,$$

where $u_\infty = \lim_{r\to\infty} u(r)$ and C does not depend on r.

Moreover, we have the following point-wise estimate for not necessarily bounded solutions of (1).

Theorem 1.2. *Let $N > 1 - \alpha + \frac{\alpha}{p}$, $F \in C^1(\mathbb{R})$ and u be a non-constant radial stable weak solution of (1). Then, there exist positive constants C and r_0 such that for $r \geq r_0$ we have*

$$|u(r)| \geq C \begin{cases} r^{\frac{1}{p}\left(1+\frac{\alpha}{p}\right)\left(p+2-\frac{p(N+\alpha)}{p+\alpha}+2\sqrt{\frac{1}{p+\alpha}\left(\frac{p(N-1)}{p-1}+\alpha\right)}\right)}, & \text{if } N \neq \frac{4(p+\alpha)}{p-1}+p; \\ \ln(r), & \text{if } N = \frac{4(p+\alpha)}{p-1}+p. \end{cases} \tag{4}$$

The constant C does not depend on r.

In the following, we prove Liouville-type theorems for radial solutions of (1) of finite Morse index with three well-known non-linearities. Note that there is no boundedness condition in this theorem.

Theorem 1.3. *There is no radial weak solution with finite Morse index of (1) if*

(i) $F(u) = e^u$ *in dimensions* $p < N < \frac{4(p+\alpha)}{p-1} + p$.

(ii) $F(u) = u^q$ *with* $q > p-1$ *in dimensions* $\frac{p(q+\alpha+1)}{q-p+1} < N < \frac{q-1}{q-p+1}p + \frac{p-2}{q-p+1}\alpha + 2(p+\alpha)\frac{q+\sqrt{q(q-p+1)}}{(p-1)(q-p+1)}$.

(iii) $F(u) = -u^q$ *with* $q < 0$ *in dimensions* $\frac{p(q-1)+\alpha(p-2)}{q-p+1} < N < \frac{q-1}{q-p+1}p + \frac{p-2}{q-p+1}\alpha + 2(p+\alpha)\frac{q-\sqrt{q(q-p+1)}}{(p-1)(q-p+1)}$.

Remark: Note that $\frac{p(q-1)+\alpha(p-2)}{q-p+1} \leq p$ if and only if $(p+\alpha)(p-2) \geq 0$. So, the lower dimensions in (iii) is covering the range $p < N$ which is of our interest. Also, in lower dimensions for (ii), one can apply Proposition 2.1, Pohozaev identity and standard techniques given by Caffarelli-Gidas-Spruck [4] for radial solutions to see there is no non-trivial radial weak solution of (1) if $F(u) = u^q$ for $q > p-1$ in dimensions

$$N < \frac{p(q+\alpha+1)}{q-p+1}.$$

For finite Morse index solutions, we can prove a non-existence result as following for not necessarily radial solutions. Note that dimension $N = \frac{p(q+\alpha+1)}{q-p+1}$ is a critical dimension which quasi-linear Lane-Emden equation (1) will accept a stable outside a compact set solution.

Theorem 1.4. *Let u be a non-negative weak solution with finite Morse index, not necessarily radial, of (1) for $F(u) = u^q$ and $q > p-1$, then in dimensions*

$$N < \frac{p(q+\alpha+1)}{q-p+1},$$

u is the trivial solution. Moreover, in dimension $N = \frac{p(q+\alpha+1)}{q-p+1}$, all radial solutions of (1) are of the following form

$$u_\epsilon(r) := k(\epsilon)(\epsilon + r^{\frac{p+\alpha}{p-1}})^{\frac{p-N}{p+\alpha}}, \tag{5}$$

where $\epsilon > 0$, $k(\epsilon) := \left(\epsilon(N+\alpha)(\frac{N-p}{p-1})^{p-1}\right)^{\frac{N-p}{p(p+\alpha)}}$, and are stable outside a compact set $\overline{B_{R_0}}$, for an appropriate R_0

Remark: For $-p < \alpha \leq 0$, by Schwarz symmetrization (or rearrangement), it is shown in [20] that all radial solutions of (1) with $q = \frac{N(p-1)+p(\alpha+1)}{N-p}$ and $N > p$ are of the form (5). See also [32].

For not necessary radial nor bounded solutions, a similar Liouville type theorem can be proved as following. Note that higher dimensions are the same as given in Theorem 1.3.

Theorem 1.5. *There is no entire stable weak solution for (1) with one of the following non-linearities* *(i)* $F(u) = e^u$ *in dimensions* $N < \frac{4(p+\alpha)}{p-1} + p$.

(ii) $F(u) = u^q$ *with* $q > p-1$ *in dimensions* $N < \frac{q-1}{q-p+1}p + \frac{p-2}{q-p+1}\alpha + 2(p+\alpha)\frac{q+\sqrt{q(q-p+1)}}{(p-1)(q-p+1)}$.

(iii) $F(u) = -u^q$ *with* $q < 0$ *in dimensions* $N < \frac{q-1}{q-p+1}p + \frac{p-2}{q-p+1}\alpha + 2(p+\alpha)\frac{q-\sqrt{q(q-p+1)}}{(p-1)(q-p+1)}$.

By following ideas in the proof of Theorem 1.3 and Theorem 1.5, we also get some point-wise and decay estimates on solutions, see Theorem 2.2 and 2.3 in §2.3.

2 Proof of main results

2.1 Proofs of Theorem 1.1 and 1.2

Note that the p-Laplacian operator of a radial function in dimension N is given by

$$\Delta_{p,N} u = |u_r|^{p-2}\left((p-1)u_{rr} + \frac{N-1}{r}u_r\right).$$

Moreover, $\Delta_{p,N}$ makes sense for non-integer N, and radial weak solution u of (1) satisfies

$$\int_0^\infty r^{N-1}|u_r|^{p-2}u_r\phi_r = \int_0^\infty r^{N-1}f(r)F(u)\phi, \qquad \text{for all } \ \phi \in C_c^1([0,\infty)). \tag{6}$$

In the following proposition, we see by an appropriate change of variable, weighted equation (1) can be changed to a non-weighted equation in a new fractional dimension. For the semi-linear case, i.e. $p = 2$, Cowan-Ghoussoub in [6] used this change of variable on the unit ball to provide proofs for various phenomena observed by Guo-Pan-Ward in [24].

Proposition 2.1. *Let* $N \geq p \geq 2$ *and* $\alpha + p > 0$. *Function* $u(r)$ *satisfies* $-\Delta_{p,N}u(r) = r^\alpha F(u(r))$ *in* B_R, *the ball of radius* R, *in dimension* N *if and only if the function* $\omega(s) := u(r)$ *where* $s := r^{1+\frac{\alpha}{p}}$ *is a solution of* $-\Delta_{p,\frac{p(\alpha+N)}{\alpha+p}}\omega(s) = (1+\frac{\alpha}{p})^{-p}F(\omega(s))$ *in* $B_{R^{1+\frac{\alpha}{p}}}$ *in fractional dimension* $\frac{p(N+\alpha)}{p+\alpha}$. *Also, a same type result holds on the whole space* $\mathbb{R}^N$.

Remark: By scaling one can remove the constant $(1+\frac{\alpha}{p})^{-p}$.
Proof: Set $\omega(s) := u(r)$ for $s := r^{1+\frac{\alpha}{p}}$. Then, by a straightforward calculation, we see

$$|u_r|^{p-2}\left((p-1)u_{rr} + \frac{N-1}{r}u_r\right) = \left(1+\frac{\alpha}{p}\right)^p r^\alpha |\omega_s|^{p-2}\left((p-1)\omega_{ss} + \frac{N_{\alpha,p}-1}{s}\omega_s\right)$$

where $N_{\alpha,p} := \frac{p(N+\alpha)}{p+\alpha}$. Since $\Delta_{p,N}u = |u_r|^{p-2}\left((p-1)u_{rr} + \frac{N-1}{r}u_r\right)$, we get

$$\Delta_{p,N}u(r) = \left(1+\frac{\alpha}{p}\right)^p r^\alpha \Delta_{p,\frac{p(N+\alpha)}{p+\alpha}}\omega(s).$$

Therefore,

$$-\Delta_{p,\frac{p(N+\alpha)}{p+\alpha}}\omega = \left(1+\frac{\alpha}{p}\right)^{-p}F(\omega).$$

□

The first Liouville-type theorem in the notion of stability for radial stable solutions of (1) with a general non-linearity $F \in C^1(\mathbb{R})$ and $f = 1, p = 2$ was nicely proved by Cabré and Capella [1]. Then, Castorina-Esposito-Sciunzi in [5] extended Cabré-Capella results to the quasi-linear equation (1) with $f = 1$. Recently,

Villegas [31] by deriving some technical estimates and using a new test function in the stability condition improved Cabré-Capella's results for the semi-linear case $p = 2, f = 1$.
To prove a non-existence result for (1), one can apply Cabré-Capella ideas in [1], Castorina-Esposito-Sciunzi results in [5] and Proposition 2.1 to show that if u is a non-constant bounded radial weak solution of (1) in dimensions $1 - \alpha + \frac{\alpha}{p} < N \leq \frac{p+\alpha}{p(p-1)}(3p - 1 + 2\sqrt{2p-1}) - \alpha$, then u is unstable. Also, the same result holds for

$$\frac{p+\alpha}{p(p-1)}(3p - 1 + 2\sqrt{2p-1}) - \alpha < N \leq \frac{4(p+\alpha)}{p-1} + p,$$

if we assume that $\lim_{s \to s_0} |F'(s)||s - s_0|^{-q} = a \in (0, \infty)$, for every zero point s_0 of F and for some $q = q(s_0) \geq 0$. Note that this non-degeneracy condition on F is satisfied if F is a non-zero analytic function.

In what follows, we will drop the non-degeneracy condition from the above result. To do so, we adapt some estimates given by Villegas in [31] for the non-weighted semi-linear equation.

Here is one of our main integral estimates.

Lemma 2.1. *Let $N > 1 - \alpha + \frac{\alpha}{p}$, $F \in C^1(\mathbb{R})$ and u be a non-constant radial stable weak solution of (1). Then, for a given S there exists $C > 0$ such that*

$$\int_s^S \frac{dt}{t^{\frac{p(N-1)+\alpha(p-1)}{p+\alpha}} |\omega_s(t)|^p} \leq C \ s^{-2\sqrt{\frac{1}{p+\alpha}\left(\frac{p(N-1)}{p-1}+\alpha\right)}}, \quad \forall\ 1 \leq s \leq S, \tag{7}$$

where $\omega(s) := u(r)$ for $s = r^{1+\frac{\alpha}{p}}$. The constant C does not depend on s and S.

Proof: Proposition 2.1 guarantees $\omega(s)$ is a radial stable solution of

$$-\Delta_{p, \frac{p(N+\alpha)}{p+\alpha}} \omega(s) = \left(1 + \frac{\alpha}{p}\right)^{-p} F(\omega(s)) =: \tilde{F}(\omega(s)). \tag{8}$$

By the same argument as in the proof of Theorem 1 in [1], Theorem 1.4 in [5] and Lemma 2.3 in [31], one has

$$(N_{\alpha,p} - 1) \int_0^\infty t^{N_{\alpha,p}-3} |\omega_s(t)|^p \eta^2(t) dt \leq (p-1) \int_0^\infty t^{N_{\alpha,p}-1} |\omega_s(t)|^p \eta_t^2(t) dt, \tag{9}$$

for all $\eta \in C_c^1[0, \infty)$, where $N_{\alpha,p} := \frac{p(N+\alpha)}{p+\alpha}$. This is true for any bounded or unbounded solution.

Now, set the following test function $\eta \in H^1(\mathbb{R}^N) \cap L^\infty(\mathbb{R}^N)$:

$$\eta(t) := \begin{cases} 1, & \text{if } 0 \leq t \leq 1; \\ t^{-\sqrt{\frac{N_{\alpha,p}-1}{p-1}}}, & \text{if } 1 \leq t \leq s; \\ \dfrac{s^{-\sqrt{\frac{N_{\alpha,p}-1}{p-1}}}}{\int_s^S \frac{dz}{z^{N_{\alpha,p}-1} |\omega_s(z)|^p}} \displaystyle\int_t^S \frac{dz}{z^{N_{\alpha,p}-1} |\omega_s(z)|^p}, & \text{if } s \leq t \leq S; \\ 0, & \text{if } S \leq t. \end{cases}$$

By a straightforward calculation, for the given test function η, L.H.S. of (9) has the following lower bound,

$$\begin{aligned} (N_{\alpha,p} - 1) \int_0^\infty t^{N_{\alpha,p}-3} |\omega_s(t)|^p \eta^2(t) dt \quad \geq \quad & (N_{\alpha,p} - 1) \int_0^1 |\omega_s(t)|^p t^{N_{\alpha,p}-3} dt \\ & + (N_{\alpha,p} - 1) \int_1^s |\omega_s(t)|^p t^{-2\sqrt{\frac{N_{\alpha,p}-1}{p-1}} + N_{\alpha,p} - 3} dt. \end{aligned}$$

On the other hand, since

$$\eta_t(t) = \begin{cases} 0, & \text{if } 0 \leq t < 1; \\ -\sqrt{\dfrac{N_{\alpha,p}-1}{p-1}}\, t^{-\sqrt{\frac{N_{\alpha,p}-1}{p-1}} - 1}, & \text{if } 1 < t < s; \\ -\dfrac{s^{-\sqrt{\frac{N_{\alpha,p}-1}{p-1}}}}{\int_s^S \frac{dz}{z^{N_{\alpha,p}-1} |\omega_s(z)|^p}} \dfrac{1}{t^{N_{\alpha,p}-1} |\omega_s(t)|^p}, & \text{if } s < t < S; \\ 0, & \text{if } S < t; \end{cases}$$

R.H.S. of (9), for the given η, is the same as

$$\begin{aligned}(p-1)\int_0^\infty t^{N_{\alpha,p}-1}|\omega_s(t)|^p\eta_t^2(t)dt &= (p-1)\int_1^s \left(\frac{N_{\alpha,p}-1}{p-1}\right) t^{-2\sqrt{\frac{N_{\alpha,p}-1}{p-1}}+N_{\alpha,p}-3}|\omega_s(t)|^p dt \\ &\quad +(p-1)\int_s^S t^{N_{\alpha,p}-1}|\omega_s(t)|^p \frac{s^{-2\sqrt{\frac{N_{\alpha,p}-1}{p-1}}}}{\left(\int_s^S \frac{dz}{z^{N_{\alpha,p}-1}|\omega_s(z)|^p}\right)^2}\frac{dt}{\left(t^{N_{\alpha,p}-1}|\omega_s(t)|^p\right)^2} \\ &= (N_{\alpha,p}-1)\int_1^s t^{-2\sqrt{\frac{N_{\alpha,p}-1}{p-1}}+N_{\alpha,p}-3}|\omega_s(t)|^p dt \\ &\quad +(p-1)\frac{s^{-2\sqrt{\frac{N_{\alpha,p}-1}{p-1}}}}{\int_s^S \frac{dz}{z^{N_{\alpha,p}-1}|\omega_s(z)|^p}}.\end{aligned}$$

Therefore, from (9) we obtain

$$(N_{\alpha,p}-1)\int_0^1 t^{N_{\alpha,p}-3}|\omega_s(t)|^p dt \le (p-1)\frac{s^{-2\sqrt{\frac{N_{\alpha,p}-1}{p-1}}}}{\int_s^S \frac{dz}{z^{N_{\alpha,p}-1}|\omega_s(z)|^p}}, \qquad \forall 1 \le s \le S.$$

Hence, we have

$$\int_s^S \frac{dz}{z^{N_{\alpha,p}-1}|\omega_s(z)|^p} \le C\ s^{-2\sqrt{\frac{N_{\alpha,p}-1}{p-1}}}, \qquad \forall 1 \le s \le S,$$

where $C := \frac{p-1}{(N_{\alpha,p}-1)\int_0^1 t^{N_{\alpha,p}-3}|\omega_s(t)|^p dt}$. Note that constant C does not depend on s and S.

□

Applying Lemma 2.1 enables us to prove the following point-wise estimate.

Lemma 2.2. *Let $N > 1-\alpha+\frac{\alpha}{p}$, $F \in C^1(\mathbb{R})$ and u be a non-constant radial stable weak solution of (1). Then, for fixed $\gamma > 1$ there exists $C_\gamma > 0$ such that*

$$|u(\gamma r)-u(r)| \ge \frac{C_\gamma^{\frac{p+1}{p}}}{C^p}\ r^{\frac{1}{p}\left(1+\frac{\alpha}{p}\right)\left(p+2-\frac{p(N+\alpha)}{p+\alpha}+2\sqrt{\frac{1}{p+\alpha}\left(\frac{p(N-1)}{p-1}+\alpha\right)}\right)}, \quad \forall r \ge 1. \tag{10}$$

The constant C is the same as the constant in Lemma 2.1 which is independent of γ and

$$C_\gamma := \begin{cases} (1+\frac{\alpha}{p})\ln\gamma, & \text{if } N_{\alpha,p} = p+2; \\ \frac{p+1}{p+2-N_{\alpha,p}}\left(\gamma^{\frac{(p+2-N_{\alpha,p})(\alpha+p)}{p(p+1)}}-1\right), & \text{if } N_{\alpha,p} \ne p+2; \end{cases}$$

where $N_{\alpha,p} := \frac{p(N+\alpha)}{p+\alpha}$.

Proof: Fix $\gamma > 1$. By Lemma 2.1 for $1 \le s \le \gamma s := S$, we have

$$\int_s^{\gamma s} \frac{dt}{t^{N_{\alpha,p}-1}|\omega_s(t)|^p} \le Cs^{-2\sqrt{\frac{N_{\alpha,p}-1}{p-1}}}, \tag{11}$$

where C does not depend on γ and s. On the other hand, by the same idea as in [1, 5, 31] we see ω_s does not change sign in $(0,\infty)$. So,

$$\int_s^{\gamma s} |\omega_s(t)|dt = |\omega(\gamma s)-\omega(s)|. \tag{12}$$

Now, apply Hölder's inequality to get

$$\begin{aligned}\int_s^{\gamma s}\frac{dt}{t^{\frac{N_{\alpha,p}-1}{p+1}}} &\le \left(\int_s^{\gamma s}\frac{dt}{t^{N_{\alpha,p}-1}|\omega_s(t)|^p}\right)^{\frac{1}{p+1}}\left(\int_s^{\gamma s}|\omega_s(t)|dt\right)^{\frac{p}{p+1}}\\ &\le C^{\frac{1}{p+1}}s^{-\frac{2}{p+1}\sqrt{\frac{N_{\alpha,p}-1}{p-1}}}|\omega(\gamma s)-\omega(s)|^{\frac{p}{p+1}},\end{aligned}$$

where in the last inequality we have used (11) and (12). On the other hand, by a direct calculation, we see L.H.S. of the above inequality is

$$\int_s^{\gamma s}\frac{dt}{t^{\frac{N_{\alpha,p}-1}{p+1}}}=\hat{C}_\gamma\ s^{\frac{p+2-N_{\alpha,p}}{p+1}},$$

where

$$\hat{C}_\gamma:=\begin{cases}\ln\gamma, & \text{if } N_{\alpha,p}=p+2;\\ \frac{p+1}{p+2-N_{\alpha,p}}(\gamma^{\frac{p+2-N_{\alpha,p}}{p+1}}-1), & \text{if } N_{\alpha,p}\neq p+2.\end{cases}$$

Therefore,

$$|\omega(\gamma s)-\omega(s)|\ge\frac{\hat{C}_\gamma^{\frac{p+1}{p}}}{C^p}s^{\frac{1}{p}\left(p+2-N_{\alpha,p}+2\sqrt{\frac{N_{\alpha,p}-1}{p-1}}\right)}. \tag{13}$$

Since $\omega(s)=u(r)$ for $s=r^{1+\frac{\alpha}{p}}$ and $r>0$, replace γ by $\gamma^{1+\frac{\alpha}{p}}$ in (13) to get

$$|u(\gamma r)-u(r)|\ge\frac{\hat{C}_{\gamma^{1+\frac{\alpha}{p}}}^{\frac{p+1}{p}}}{C^p}\ r^{\frac{1}{p}\left(1+\frac{\alpha}{p}\right)\left(p+2-N_{\alpha,p}+2\sqrt{\frac{N_{\alpha,p}-1}{p-1}}\right)},\qquad \forall r>1.$$

□

Now, we are in the position to prove Theorem 1.1.

Proof of Theorem 1.1: This is a consequence of Lemma 2.2. Fix $1<\hat{\gamma}<\infty$. Since u is bounded, R.H.S. of (10) must be a bounded function of r. So, the exponent must be non-negative, i.e.,

$$p+2-\frac{p(N+\alpha)}{p+\alpha}+2\sqrt{\frac{1}{p+\alpha}\left(\frac{p(N-1)}{p-1}+\alpha\right)}\le 0.$$

Note that if the exponent is zero, then by the same idea as in the proof of Theorem 1.2 we get a lower bound of the ln-form. So, the exponent must be negative, and a straightforward calculation shows this is possible if and only if $N>p+\frac{4(p+\alpha)}{p-1}$.

To get the desired point-wise estimate, we apply Lemma 2.2 again. Note that if $N>p+\frac{4(p+\alpha)}{p-1}$, then for sure $N>\frac{(p+2)(p+\alpha)}{p-1}-\alpha$, i.e. $N_{\alpha,p}>p+2$. So, for any $\gamma>1$ from (10), we conclude

$$|u(\gamma r)-u(r)|\ge\frac{C'_\gamma}{C^p}\ r^{\frac{1}{p}\left(1+\frac{\alpha}{p}\right)\left(p+2-N_{\alpha,p}+2\sqrt{\frac{N_{\alpha,p}-1}{p-1}}\right)},\qquad\forall r>1,$$

where $C'_\gamma=\left(\frac{p+1}{p+2-N_{\alpha,p}}(\gamma^{(\frac{p+2-N_{\alpha,p})(\alpha+p)}{(p+1)p}}-1)\right)^{\frac{p+1}{p}}$. Now, just take a limit of both sides of the above inequality when $\gamma\to\infty$. Note that $\lim_{\gamma\to\infty}C'_\gamma=\left(\frac{p+1}{N_{\alpha,p}-p-2}\right)^{\frac{p+1}{p}}<\infty$.

□

Proof of Theorem 1.2: If $N>p+\frac{4(p+\alpha)}{p-1}$, then a straightforward calculation shows

$$p+2-\frac{p(N+\alpha)}{p+\alpha}+2\sqrt{\frac{1}{p+\alpha}\left(\frac{p(N-1)}{p-1}+\alpha\right)}<0.$$

Assume $\lim_{r\to\infty} u(r) = 0$, otherwise (4) holds trivially. Also, observe that u is monotone, since $u_r = (1+\frac{\alpha}{p})r^{\frac{\alpha}{p}}\omega_s(s)$ and ω is monotone. Considering these facts and applying Lemma 2.2, we get the following.

$$\begin{aligned}
|u(r)| &= \sum_{k=1}^{\infty} |u(2^k r) - u(2^{k-1} r)| \\
&\geq C \sum_{k=1}^{\infty} (2^{k-1} r)^{\frac{1}{p}(1+\frac{\alpha}{p})\left(p+2-\frac{p(N+\alpha)}{p+\alpha}+2\sqrt{\frac{1}{p+\alpha}\left(\frac{p(N-1)}{p-1}+\alpha\right)}\right)} \\
&= C\, r^{\frac{1}{p}(1+\frac{\alpha}{p})\left(p+2-\frac{p(N+\alpha)}{p+\alpha}+2\sqrt{\frac{1}{p+\alpha}\left(\frac{p(N-1)}{p-1}+\alpha\right)}\right)} \sum_{k=1}^{\infty} 2^{(k-1)\frac{1}{p}(1+\frac{\alpha}{p})\left(p+2-\frac{p(N+\alpha)}{p+\alpha}+2\sqrt{\frac{1}{p+\alpha}\left(\frac{p(N-1)}{p-1}+\alpha\right)}\right)}.
\end{aligned}$$

Since the exponent is negative, the series is convergent and (4) holds.

Now, let $1-\alpha+\frac{\alpha}{p} < N \leq p + \frac{4(p+\alpha)}{p-1}$. Take $1 \leq r_1 < 2$ such that $r = 2^{m-1} r_1$. For $r \geq 1$, we have

$$\begin{aligned}
|u(r)| &\geq |u(r) - u(r_1)| - |u(r_1)| = \sum_{k=1}^{m-1} |u(2^k r_1) - u(2^{k-1} r_1)| - |u(r_1)| \\
&\geq C \sum_{k=1}^{m-1} (2^{k-1} r_1)^{\frac{1}{p}(1+\frac{\alpha}{p})\left(p+2-\frac{p(N+\alpha)}{p+\alpha}+2\sqrt{\frac{1}{p+\alpha}\left(\frac{p(N-1)}{p-1}+\alpha\right)}\right)} - |u(r_1)|.
\end{aligned}$$

If $N = p + \frac{4(p+\alpha)}{p-1}$, it's easy to see $p+2-\frac{p(N+\alpha)}{p+\alpha}+2\sqrt{\frac{1}{p+\alpha}\left(\frac{p(N-1)}{p-1}+\alpha\right)} = 0$. So, the above inequality will be simplified to

$$|u(r)| \geq C(m-1) - |u(r_1)| = C\frac{\ln r - \ln r_1}{\ln 2} - |u(r_1)|.$$

Since $1 \leq r_1 < 2$ and u is continuous function, (4) holds for large enough r.

On the other hand, for dimensions $1-\alpha+\frac{\alpha}{p} < N < p + \frac{4(p+\alpha)}{p-1}$, we have

$$|u(r)| \geq C\left(\frac{r^{\frac{1}{p}(1+\frac{\alpha}{p})\left(p+2-\frac{p(N+\alpha)}{p+\alpha}+2\sqrt{\frac{1}{p+\alpha}\left(\frac{p(N-1)}{p-1}+\alpha\right)}\right)} - r_1^{\frac{1}{p}(1+\frac{\alpha}{p})\left(p+2-\frac{p(N+\alpha)}{p+\alpha}+2\sqrt{\frac{1}{p+\alpha}\left(\frac{p(N-1)}{p-1}+\alpha\right)}\right)}}{2^{\frac{1}{p}(1+\frac{\alpha}{p})\left(p+2-\frac{p(N+\alpha)}{p+\alpha}+2\sqrt{\frac{1}{p+\alpha}\left(\frac{p(N-1)}{p-1}+\alpha\right)}\right)} - 1}\right) - |u(r_1)|.$$

Since $(1+\frac{\alpha}{p})\left(p+2-\frac{p(N+\alpha)}{p+\alpha}+2\sqrt{\frac{1}{p+\alpha}\left(\frac{p(N-1)}{p-1}+\alpha\right)}\right) > 0$, we get the desired result for large enough r.

□

Note that regularity of extremal solutions which is closely related to Liouville-type theorems through blow-up analysis and rescaling techniques for both semi-linear and quasi-linear equations has been established by Cabré et al. in [2, 3].

2.2 Proof of Theorem 1.5.

At first, in a couple of lemmas, we prove some major estimates for stable weak solutions (not necessarily radial) of (1) with three different non-linearities, i.e. the Gelfand, $F(u) = e^u$, the Lande-Emden, $F(u) = u^q, q > p-1$, and the negative exponent, $F(u) = u^q, q < 0$, non-linearities. Note that the negative exponent non-linearity for $q = -2$ is called the MEMS non-linearity, see [19, 15]. Then, applying appropriate test functions leads us to Liouville-type theorems. The following lemmas are adaptations of the same type estimates given by Farina in [16, 17] and Esposito-Ghoussoub-Gou in [14, 15]. Similar results can be found in [5, 9, 11, 12, 13, 7].

For power non-linearities, we have:

Lemma 2.3. *Let $\Omega \subset \mathbb{R}^N$ and $u \in C^{1,\gamma}(\Omega)$ be positive stable weak solution of (1) with $F(u) = sign(q)u^q$. For one of the following exponents and parameters:*
(i) either $q > p-1$ and $1 \le t < -1 + 2\frac{q+\sqrt{q(q-p+1)}}{p-1}$,
(ii) or $q < 0$ and $1 \le -t < 1 + 2\frac{-q+\sqrt{q(q-p+1)}}{p-1}$. Then, we have

$$\int_\Omega \left(|\nabla u|^p u^{t-1} + f(x)u^{t+q}\right)\phi^{pm} \le C\int_\Omega f(x)^{-\frac{t+p-1}{q-p+1}}|\nabla\phi|^{\frac{t+q}{q-p+1}p}, \tag{14}$$

$$\int_\Omega \left(|\nabla u|^p u^{t-1} + f(x)u^{t+q}\right)\phi^{2m} \le C\int_\Omega f(x)^{-\frac{t+1}{q-1}}(|\nabla u|^{p-2}|\nabla\phi|^2)^{\frac{t+q}{q-1}}, \tag{15}$$

for all $\phi \in C_c^1(\Omega)$ with $0 \le \phi \le 1$ and large enough m. The constant C does not depend on Ω and u.

Proof: We first prove (14), then by the same idea we prove (15). For any $C^{1,\gamma}(\mathbb{R}^N)$ stable solution of (1) with $F(u) = \text{sign}(q)u^q$ and $\phi \in C_c^1(\mathbb{R}^N)$, we have the followings:

$$|q|\int_\Omega f(x)u^{q-1}\phi^2 \le (p-1)\int_\Omega |\nabla u|^{p-2}|\nabla\phi|^2, \tag{16}$$

$$\text{sign}(q)\int f(x)u^q\phi = \int |\nabla u|^{p-2}\nabla u\cdot\nabla\phi. \tag{17}$$

Test (17) on $u^t\phi^p$, an appropriate $t \in \mathbb{R}$ will be chosen later, to get

$$\begin{aligned}\text{sign}(q)\int_\Omega f(x)u^{t+q}\phi^p &= \int |\nabla u|^{p-2}\nabla u\cdot\nabla\left(u^t\phi^p\right)\\ &= t\int_\Omega |\nabla u|^p u^{t-1}\phi^p + p\int |\nabla u|^{p-2}u^t\nabla u\cdot\nabla\phi\phi^{p-1}.\end{aligned}$$

Therefore, applying Young's inequality[2] with exponents p and $\frac{p}{p-1}$ to $\left(|\nabla u|^{p-1}u^{\frac{p-1}{p}(t-1)}\phi^{p-1}\right)\left(u^{\frac{t+p-1}{p}}|\nabla\phi|\right)$, we have

$$(|t|-\epsilon)\int |\nabla u|^p u^{t-1}\phi^p \le C_{\epsilon,p}\int u^{t+p-1}|\nabla\phi|^p + \int f(x)u^{t+q}\phi^p. \tag{18}$$

Now, test (16) on $u^{\frac{t+1}{2}}\phi^{\frac{p}{2}}$ to obtain

$$\begin{aligned}\frac{|q|}{p-1}\int f(x)u^{t+q}\phi^p &\le \frac{(t+1)^2}{4}\int |\nabla u|^p u^{t-1}\phi^p + \frac{p^2}{4}\int |\nabla u|^{p-2}u^{t+1}\phi^{p-2}|\nabla\phi|^2\\ &\quad + \frac{(t+1)p}{2}\int |\nabla u|^{p-2}u^t\nabla u\cdot\nabla\phi\phi^{p-1}\\ &\le \left(\frac{(t+1)^2}{4} + 2\epsilon\right)\int |\nabla u|^p u^{t-1}\phi^p + (C'_{\epsilon,t,p} + C''_{\epsilon,t,p})\int u^{t+p-1}|\nabla\phi|^p,\end{aligned}$$

in the last inequality we have used Young's inequality twice with exponents p and $\frac{p}{p-1}$ and also with $\frac{p}{2}$ and $\frac{p}{p-2}$. Combine this inequality and (18) to see

$$\left(\frac{|q|}{p-1} - \frac{\frac{(t+1)^2}{4} + 2\epsilon}{|t|-\epsilon}\right)\int f(x)u^{t+q}\phi^p \le \left(\frac{\frac{(t+1)^2}{4} + 2\epsilon}{|t|-\epsilon}C_{\epsilon,p} + C'_{\epsilon,t,p} + C''_{\epsilon,t,p}\right)\int u^{t+p-1}|\nabla\phi|^p. \tag{19}$$

---

[2] For $a, b, \epsilon > 0$ and $1 < \alpha, \beta < \infty$ we have $ab \le \epsilon a^\alpha + C(\epsilon)b^\beta$, where $C(\epsilon) = (\epsilon\alpha)^{-\beta/\alpha}\beta^{-1}$ and $1/\alpha + 1/\beta = 1$. For $\alpha = \beta = 2$ this is called Cauchy's inequality.

For an appropriate choice of t, given in the assumption, we see that the coefficient in L.H.S. is positive for ϵ small enough. Therefore, replacing ϕ with ϕ^m for large enough m and applying Hölder's inequality with exponents $\frac{t+q}{t+p-1}$ and $\frac{t+q}{q-p+1}$ we obtain

$$\int f(x)u^{t+q}\phi^{pm} \le D_{\epsilon,t,m,p}\int f(x)^{-\frac{t+p-1}{q-p+1}}|\nabla\phi|^{\frac{t+q}{q-p+1}p}. \tag{20}$$

Note that both exponents are greater than 1 for t given in (i) and (ii).

On the other hand, combining (18) and (19) gives us

$$\int |\nabla u|^p u^{t-1}\phi^p \le D'_{\epsilon,t,p}\int u^{t+p-1}|\nabla\phi|^p.$$

Similarly, replace ϕ by ϕ^m and apply Hölder's inequality with exponents $\frac{t+q}{t+p-1}$ and $\frac{t+q}{q-p+1}$ to get

$$\int |\nabla u|^p u^{t-1}\phi^{mp} \le D''_{\epsilon,t,p,m}\int f(x)^{-\frac{t+p-1}{q-p+1}}|\nabla\phi|^{\frac{t+q}{q-p+1}p}.$$

This inequality and (20) finish the proof of (14).

Proof of (15) is quiet similar. Here is the sketch of proof. First, test (17) on $u^t\phi^2$ to arrive at

$$\operatorname{sign}(q)\int f(x)u^{t+q}\phi^2 \quad = \quad t\int |\nabla u|^p u^{t-1}\phi^2 + 2\int |\nabla u|^{p-2}u^t\nabla u\cdot\nabla\phi\ \phi.$$

Then, applying Cauchy's inequality to $\left(|\nabla u|^{\frac{p}{2}}u^{\frac{t-1}{2}}\phi\right)\left(|\nabla u|^{\frac{p-2}{2}}u^{\frac{t+1}{2}}|\nabla\phi|\right)$, we have

$$(|t|-\epsilon)\int |\nabla u|^p u^{t-1}\phi^2 \le \hat{C}_{\epsilon,p}\int |\nabla u|^{p-2}u^{t+1}|\nabla\phi|^2 + \int f(x)u^{t+q}\phi^2. \tag{21}$$

Now, test (16) on $u^{\frac{t+1}{2}}\phi$ and apply Cauchy's inequality to get

$$\begin{aligned}\frac{|q|}{p-1}\int f(x)u^{t+q}\phi^2 \quad &\le \quad \frac{(t+1)^2}{4}\int |\nabla u|^p u^{t-1}\phi^2 + \int u^{t+1}|\nabla u|^{p-2}|\nabla\phi|^2 \\ &\quad\quad +(t+1)\int |\nabla u|^{p-2}u^t\nabla u\cdot\nabla\phi\phi \\ &\le \quad \left(\frac{(t+1)^2}{4}+\epsilon\right)\int |\nabla u|^p u^{t-1}\phi^2 + (1+\hat{C}'_{\epsilon,t,p})\int |\nabla u|^{p-2}u^{t+1}|\nabla\phi|^2.\end{aligned}$$

Combine this inequality and (21) to see

$$\left(\frac{|q|}{p-1} - \frac{\frac{(t+1)^2}{4}+\epsilon}{|t|-\epsilon}\right)\int f(x)u^{t+q}\phi^2 \le \left(1+\hat{C}'_{\epsilon,t,p}+\frac{\hat{C}_{\epsilon,p}}{|t|-\epsilon}\left(\frac{(t+1)^2}{4}+\epsilon\right)\right)\int |\nabla u|^{p-2}u^{t+1}|\nabla\phi|^2.$$

Replace ϕ with ϕ^m and apply Hölder's inequality to get the desired result.

$\square$

For the exponential non-linearity, Gelfand non-linearity, we have the following estimate.

Lemma 2.4. *Let $\Omega \subset \mathbb{R}^N$ and $u \in C^{1,\gamma}(\Omega)$ be stable weak solution of (1) with $F(u) = e^u$. For any $0 < t < \frac{2}{p-1}$, we have*

$$\begin{aligned}\int_\Omega f(x)e^{(2t+1)u}\phi^{pm} \quad &\le \quad C\int_\Omega f(x)^{-2t}|\nabla\phi|^{p(2t+1)}, & (22)\\ \int_\Omega f(x)e^{(2t+1)u}\phi^{2m} \quad &\le \quad C\int_\Omega f(x)^{-2t}(|\nabla u|^{p-2}|\nabla\phi|^2)^{(2t+1)}, & (23)\end{aligned}$$

for all $\phi \in C^1_c(\Omega)$ with $0 \le \phi \le 1$ and large enough m. The constant C does not depend on Ω and u.

Proof: The idea of the proof is the same as Lemma 2.3. We just prove (22), then by the same idea one can prove (23). For any $C^{1,\gamma}(\mathbb{R}^N)$ stable solution of (1) with $F(u)=e^u$ and $\phi\in C_c^1(\mathbb{R}^N)$, we have the followings:

$$\int_\Omega f(x)e^u\phi^2 \;\le\; (p-1)\int_\Omega |\nabla u|^{p-2}|\nabla\phi|^2, \tag{24}$$

$$\int_\Omega f(x)e^u\phi \;=\; \int_\Omega |\nabla u|^{p-2}\nabla u\cdot\nabla\phi. \tag{25}$$

Test (25) on $e^{2tu}\phi^p$, an appropriate $t\in\mathbb{R}^+$ will be chosen later, to get

$$\begin{aligned}\int f(x)e^{(2t+1)u}\phi^p &= \int |\nabla u|^{p-2}\nabla u\cdot\nabla\left(e^{2tu}\phi^p\right)\\ &= 2t\int |\nabla u|^p e^{2tu}\phi^p + p\int |\nabla u|^{p-2}e^{2tu}\nabla u\cdot\nabla\phi\ \phi^{p-1}.\end{aligned}$$

Apply Young's inequality with exponents p and $\frac{p}{p-1}$ to $\left(|\nabla u|^{p-1}e^{\frac{2t(p-1)}{p}u}\phi^{p-1}\right)\left(e^{\frac{2t}{p}u}|\nabla\phi|\right)$ to obtain

$$(2t-\epsilon)\int |\nabla u|^p e^{2tu}\phi^p \le \tilde{C}_{\epsilon,p}\int e^{2tu}|\nabla\phi|^p + \int f(x)e^{(2t+1)u}\phi^p. \tag{26}$$

Now, test (24) on $e^{tu}\phi^{\frac{p}{2}}$ to have

$$\begin{aligned}\frac{1}{p-1}\int f(x)e^{(2t+1)u}\phi^p &\le t^2\int |\nabla u|^p e^{2tu}\phi^p + \frac{p^2}{4}\int |\nabla u|^{p-2}e^{2tu}\phi^{p-2}|\nabla\phi|^2\\ &\quad + tp\int |\nabla u|^{p-2}e^{2tu}\nabla u\cdot\nabla\phi\phi^{p-1}\\ &\le (t^2+2\epsilon)\int |\nabla u|^p e^{2tu}\phi^p + (\tilde{C}'_{\epsilon,t,p}+\tilde{C}''_{\epsilon,t,p})\int e^{2tu}|\nabla\phi|^p,\end{aligned}$$

in the last inequality we have used Young's inequality twice with exponents p and $\frac{p}{p-1}$ and also with $\frac{p}{2}$ and $\frac{p}{p-2}$. Combine this inequality and (26) to see

$$\left(\frac{1}{p-1}-\frac{t^2+2\epsilon}{2t-\epsilon}\right)\int f(x)e^{(2t+1)u}\phi^p \le \left(\frac{t^2+2\epsilon}{2t-\epsilon}\tilde{C}_{\epsilon,p}+\tilde{C}'_{\epsilon,t,p}+\tilde{C}''_{\epsilon,t,p}\right)\int e^{2tu}|\nabla\phi|^p. \tag{27}$$

For ϵ small enough, if we choose $0<t<\frac{2}{p-1}$ we see that the coefficient in the L.H.S. is positive.

Now, replacing ϕ with ϕ^m for large enough m and applying Hölder's inequality with exponents $2t+1$ and $\frac{2t+1}{2t}$ we obtain

$$\int f(x)e^{(2t+1)u}\phi^{pm} \le \tilde{D}_{\epsilon,t,p,m}\int f(x)^{-2t}|\nabla\phi|^{p(2t+1)}.$$

□

Now, to prove the theorem we just pick an appropriate test function.

Proof of Theorem 1.5: We only prove the results for the exponential non-linearity. Let $\zeta_R\in C_c^1(\mathbb{R}^N)$ such that $0\le\zeta_R\le 1$ be given by

$$\zeta_R(x)=\begin{cases}1, & \text{if } |x|<R;\\ 0, & \text{if } |x|>2R;\end{cases}$$

and $||\nabla\zeta_R||_\infty\le\frac{\hat{C}}{R}$. Test (22) on ζ_R to get

$$\int_{B_R}|x|^\alpha e^{(2t+1)u}\le C\int_{B_{2R}}|x|^{-2t\alpha}|\nabla\zeta_R|^{p(2t+1)} = C_{N,\alpha,t,p}R^{N-2t\alpha-p(2t+1)},$$

where $0 < t < \frac{2}{p-1}$. If $N < 2t(\alpha + p) + p$ for $0 < t < \frac{2}{p-1}$, i.e. $N < \frac{4(p+\alpha)}{p-1} + p$, by sending $R \to \infty$ we get the contradiction.

□

If we assume a certain decay on solutions, then we can get Liouville-type theorems in higher dimensions.

Theorem 2.1. *In addition to the assumptions of Theorem 1.5, if* $|\nabla u(x)|$ *has a polynomial growth, i.e.* $|\nabla u(x)| = O(|x|^k)$ *for* $(p-2)k < 2 + \alpha$. *Then, we can get the following higher dimensions for the non-existence of entire stable solutions of (1) for the following non-linearities*

(i) $F(u) = e^u$ *in dimensions* $N < 2 - k(p-2) + \frac{4(\alpha+2-k(p-2))}{p-1}$.

(ii) $F(u) = u^q$ *with* $q > p - 1$ *in dimensions* $N < 2 - k(p-2) + 2(\alpha + 2 - k(p-2))\frac{q+\sqrt{q(q-p+1)}}{(p-1)(q-p+1)}$.

(iii) $F(u) = -u^q$ *with* $q < 0$ *in dimensions* $N < 2 - k(p-2) + 2(\alpha + 2 - k(p-2))\frac{q-\sqrt{q(q-p+1)}}{(p-1)(q-p+1)}$.

Remark: Note that if $k = -1$ and $k = -\frac{q+\alpha+1}{q-p+1}$ for the exponential and the power non-linearities, respectively. Then, dimensions in Theorem 2.1 are the same as Theorem 1.5. In fact, these theorems are equivalent if $u(x)$ behaves the same as functions $\tilde{u}(x) = -(p+\alpha)\ln|x|$ (where $k = 1$) and $\tilde{u}(x) = |x|^{-\frac{p+\alpha}{q-p+1}}$ (where $k = -\frac{q+\alpha+1}{q-p+1}$) for the exponential and the power non-linearities, respectively. See Theorem 2.2 and 2.3.

Proof: Use the same test function as in the proof of Theorem 1.5. Assume there are constants $C \in \mathbb{R}^+$ and $k \in \mathbb{R}$ such that $|\nabla u| < C|x|^k$ for $k < \frac{2+\alpha}{p-2}$, then by testing (23) on ζ_R, we have

$$\int_{B_R} |x|^\alpha e^{(2t+1)u} \le C \int_{B_{2R}} |x|^{-2t\alpha + k(p-2)(2t+1)} |\nabla \zeta_R|^{2(2t+1)} = C_{N,\alpha,t,p} R^{N-2t\alpha+(k(p-2)-2)(2t+1)},$$

for $0 < t < \frac{2}{p-1}$. Since $2 + \alpha - k(p-2) > 0$, we see

$$\begin{array}{rcl} N - 2t\alpha + (k(p-2)-2)(2t+1) & = & N - 2t(2+\alpha-k(p-2)) + k(p-2) - 2 \\ & < & N - 2 + k(p-2) - \dfrac{4(2+\alpha-k(p-2))}{p-1}. \end{array}$$

Other cases are similar.

□

2.3 Proofs of Theorem 1.3 and 1.4.

The crucial tool to prove these theorems is the following Harnack's inequality, see [23, 29].

Lemma 2.5. *(Harnack's inequality) Assume* $\Omega \subset \mathbb{R}^N$ *and* $N > p$. *Let* w *be a non-negative weak sub-solution of*

$$-\Delta_p w = a(x) w^{p-1} \quad in \quad \Omega,$$

where $a(x) \in L^q(\Omega)$ *for* $q \in (\frac{N}{p}, \frac{N}{p-1})$. *Then, for any* R *such that* $B_{2R} \subset \Omega$, *there exists* C_H *such that*

$$||w||_{L^\infty(\Omega)} \le C_H R^{-\frac{N}{\beta}} ||w||_{L^\beta(\Omega)},$$

where $\beta > 1$ *and* C_H *may depend on* p, N, β *and* $R^{p-\frac{N}{q}}||a||_{L^q}$.

Proof of Theorem 1.3: In the light of Proposition 2.1, we can assume $\alpha = 0$. Let $\Sigma \subset B_{R_0}$ for sufficiently large enough R_0.

(i) Let $F(u) = e^u$. Apply Lemma 2.4 with $\Omega = \mathbb{R}^N \setminus \overline{B_{R_0}}$ and the following test function $\xi_R \in C^1_c(\mathbb{R}^N \setminus \Sigma)$ for $R > R_0 + 3$;

$$\xi_R(x) = \begin{cases} 0, & \text{if } |x| < R_0 + 1; \\ 1, & \text{if } R_0 + 2 < |x| < R; \\ 0, & \text{if } |x| > 2R; \end{cases}$$

which satisfies $0 \le \xi_R \le 1$, $||\nabla \xi_R||_{L^\infty(B_{2R} \setminus B_R)} < \frac{C}{R}$ and $||\nabla \xi_R||_{L^\infty(B_{R_0+2} \setminus B_{R_0+1})} < C_{R_0}$. Therefore, for $R > R_0 + 3$ and $0 < t < \frac{2}{p-1}$, we get

$$\int_{B_R \setminus B_{R_0+2}} e^{(2t+1)u} \le C_{R_0} + CR^{N-p(2t+1)}. \tag{28}$$

Since $p < N < \frac{4p}{p-1} + p$, we can choose $t_1 := \frac{N-p}{2p}$ in (28). By sending R to infinity, we see

$$\int_{\mathbb{R}^N \setminus B_{R_0+2}} e^{\frac{N}{p}u} < \infty.$$

So, for a given $\delta > 0$ and large enough $R_1 > R_0 + 3$, we obtain

$$\int_{\mathbb{R}^N \setminus B_{R_1}} e^{\frac{N}{p}u} \le \delta^{\frac{N}{p}}. \tag{29}$$

Now, take $B_{2R}(y) \subset \{x; |x| > R_1\} \subset \{x; |x| > R_0\}$ and $|y| = 4R$ for $R > R_0$. Then, we have $B_{2R}(y) \subset \{x;\ 2R < |x| < 6R\}$. Using standard test functions of the form $\phi_R(x) = \zeta_R(|x-y|)$ for $\zeta_R \in C^1_c(\mathbb{R}^N \setminus B_{R_0})$ which satisfies $0 \le \zeta_R \le 1$, $||\nabla \zeta_R||_\infty < \frac{C}{R}$ and

$$\zeta_R(x) = \begin{cases} 1, & \text{if } |x| < R; \\ 0, & \text{if } |x| > 2R, \end{cases}$$

in (22), we get

$$\int_{B_R(y)} e^{(2t+1)u} \le CR^{N-p(2t+1)}, \tag{30}$$

for $0 < t < \frac{2}{p-1}$. The positive constant C is independent of R.

To apply the Harnack's inequality, set $w := e^u$ and observe that w is a positive sub-solution of the following equation $-\Delta_p w = e^u w^{p-1}$ in $B_{2R}(y)$. Therefore, in the light of Lemma 2.5 for $\beta = \frac{N}{p} > 1$, we observe

$$\begin{aligned} \sup_{B_{2R}(y)} e^u &\le C_H R^{-\frac{N}{\beta}} \left(\int_{B_{2R}} e^{\frac{N}{p}u} \right)^{\frac{1}{\beta}} \\ &\le C_H R^{-\frac{N}{\beta}} \delta, \end{aligned}$$

in the last inequality we have used (29). Therefore,

$$\sup_{B_{2R}(y)} e^u \le C_H \delta R^{-p}, \tag{31}$$

where C_H just depends on N, p and $R^\epsilon ||e^u||_{L^{\frac{N}{p-\epsilon}}(B_{2R}(y))}$. Set $t_2 := \frac{N}{2(p-\epsilon)} - \frac{1}{2}$ in (30) for ϵ small enough, to get

$$R^\epsilon ||e^u||_{L^{\frac{N}{p-\epsilon}}(B_{2R}(y))} \le R^\epsilon \left(\int_{B_{2R}(y)} e^{\frac{N}{p-\epsilon}u} \right)^{\frac{p-\epsilon}{N}} \le CR^\epsilon R^{\frac{p-\epsilon}{N}\left(N - \frac{N}{p-\epsilon}p\right)} = C,$$

where C only depends on N and p. Since $|y| = 4R$, from (31), we see $|y|^p e^{u(y)} \leq \delta$ for a given δ and large enough $|y|$. Hence,

$$\lim_{|y|\to\infty} |y|^p e^{u(y)} = 0. \tag{32}$$

Note that we have not used the assumption that u is radial so far.

For a radial weak solution u of (1), the definition of radial p-Laplacian operator and decay estimate (32), imply there exists R_2 and $0 < k < N - p$ such that

$$-r^{1-N}(r^{N-1}|u_r|^{p-2}u_r)_r \leq kr^{-p}, \quad \forall r > R_2.$$

By integration, we get

$$|u_r|^{p-2}u_r \geq -\frac{k}{N-p} r^{1-p} + \frac{C(N)}{r^{N-1}}, \quad \forall r > R_2.$$

So, for large enough R_3 we have

$$|u_r|^{p-2}u_r \geq -r^{1-p}, \quad \forall r > R_3,$$

and by integrating this, we get

$$r^p e^{u(r)} \geq C\ r^{p-1}, \quad \forall r > R_3.$$

Since $p \geq 2$, this is in contradiction with (32). Hence, there is no radial stable outside a compact set solution for (1) with $\alpha = 0$ in dimensions $p < N < \frac{4p}{p-1} + p$. Now, apply Proposition 2.1 to see there is no such solution for (1) with any $\alpha > -p$ in dimensions $p < N < \frac{4(p+\alpha)}{p-1} + p$.

(ii) The case $F(u) = -u^q$ for $q > p - 1$ and $\alpha = 0$ has been done in [8].

(iii) Let $F(u) = u^q$ for $q < 0$ and $\alpha = 0$. By a similar argument as in (i), i.e., applying Lemma 2.3 with the same test function ξ_R, we obtain

$$\int_{B_R\setminus B_{R_0+2}} u^{t+q} \leq C_{R_0} + CR^{N-\frac{t+q}{q-p+1}p}, \tag{33}$$

for $1 \leq -t < 1 + 2\frac{-q+\sqrt{q(q-p+1)}}{p-1}$. Since $\frac{p(q-1)}{q-p+1} < N < \frac{q-1}{q-p+1}p + 2p\frac{q-\sqrt{q(q-p+1)}}{(p-1)(q-p+1)}$, we can take $t_1 := \frac{N}{p}(q-p+1) - q$ in (33). By sending R to infinity, we see

$$\int_{\mathbb{R}^N\setminus B_{R_0+2}} u^{\frac{N}{p}(q-p+1)} < \infty.$$

So, for a given $\delta > 0$ and large enough $R_1 > R_0 + 3$, we have

$$\int_{\mathbb{R}^N\setminus B_{R_1}} u^{\frac{N}{p}(q-p+1)} \leq \delta^{\frac{N}{p}(q-p+1)}. \tag{34}$$

On the other hand, applying Lemma 2.3 with the same test function, ζ_R, as in (i), we get

$$\int_{B_R(y)} u^{t+q} \leq CR^{N-\frac{t+q}{q-p+1}p}, \tag{35}$$

for $1 \leq -t < 1 + 2\frac{-q+\sqrt{q(q-p+1)}}{p-1}$.

Now, define $w := u^{-1}$ and observe by a straightforward calculation that

$$\Delta_p w + u^{q-p+1}w^{p-1} = 2(p-1)u^{-2p+1}|\nabla u|^p.$$

Therefore, w is a positive sub-solution for $-\Delta_p w = a(x)w^{p-1}$, where $a(x) = u^{q-p+1}$. Apply Harnack's inequality with $\hat{\beta} := -\frac{N}{p}(q-p+1) > 1$, to get

$$\begin{aligned} \sup_{B_{2R}(y)} w &\leq C_H R^{-\frac{N}{\beta}} \left(\int_{B_{2R}} u^{\frac{N}{p}(q-p+1)} \right)^{\frac{1}{\beta}} \\ &\leq C_H \delta R^{-\frac{N}{\beta}}, \end{aligned}$$

where in the last inequality we have used (34). Substitute the value of $\hat{\beta}$ to arrive at

$$\sup_{B_{2R}(y)} u^{-1} \leq C_H \delta R^{\frac{p}{q-p+1}}, \tag{36}$$

where C_H just depends on N, p and $R^{\epsilon} ||u^{q-p+1}||_{L^{\frac{N}{p-\epsilon}}(B_{2R}(y))}$. Set $t_2 := \frac{N}{p-\epsilon}(q-p+1) - q$ in (35) for ϵ small enough, to get

$$R^{\epsilon} ||u^{q-p+1}||_{L^{\frac{N}{p-\epsilon}}(B_{2R}(y))} \quad \leq \quad R^{\epsilon} \left(\int_{B_{2R}(y)} u^{\frac{N}{p-\epsilon}(q-p+1)} \right)^{\frac{p-\epsilon}{N}} \leq C R^{\epsilon} R^{\frac{p-\epsilon}{N}\left(N - \frac{N}{p-\epsilon}p\right)} = C,$$

where C only depends on N and p. Since $|y| = 4R$, (36) proves the following decay estimate for not necessary radial solutions,

$$\lim_{|y| \to \infty} |y|^{\frac{-p}{q-p+1}} u^{-1}(y) = 0. \tag{37}$$

Note that for a radial solution of (1) we have $r^{N-1}|u_r|^{p-2}u_r$ is increasing and $u_r > 0$. From the decay estimate (37), there exist R_1 and $k > 0$ such that

$$r^{1-N}(r^{N-1}|u_r|^{p-2}u_r)_r \leq k r^{-\frac{pq}{q-p+1}}, \quad \forall r > R_1.$$

By integration, we get

$$r^{N-1} u_r^{p-1} \leq \frac{k}{N - \frac{pq}{q-p+1}} r^{N - \frac{pq}{q-p+1}} + C(N), \quad \forall r > R_1.$$

Since $N > \frac{pq}{q-p+1}$, there exists positive constant C independent of r such that for large enough R_2, we have

$$u_r^{p-1} \leq C r^{-\frac{(q+1)(p-1)}{q-p+1}}, \quad \forall r > R_2,$$

and again by integration, we get

$$u(r) \leq \hat{C}\ r^{-\frac{p}{q-p+1}}, \quad \forall r > R_3,$$

where $\hat{C}$ is a positive constant independent of r. This is in contradiction with (37). Hence, there is no radial stable outside a compact set solution for (1) with $\alpha = 0$ in dimensions $\frac{p(q-1)}{q-p+1} < N < \frac{q-1}{q-p+1}p + 2p\frac{q-\sqrt{q(q-p+1)}}{(p-1)(q-p+1)}$. Now, apply Proposition 2.1 to see there is no such solutions for (1) in the given dimension for any $\alpha + p > 0$. □

Remark: Similar-type results have been given for

- the semi-linear Gelfand equation, (1) with $\alpha = 0, p = 2$ and $F(u) = e^u$, by Dancer and Farina in [9].
- the semi-linear Lane-Emden equation, (1) with $\alpha = 0, p = 2$ and $F(u) = u^q$ for $q > 1$, by Farina in [16].

- the semi-linear equation (1) with negative exponent, $\alpha < 0$, $p = 2$ and $F(u) = -u^q$ for $q < 0$, by Du et al. in [10]. They have mentioned in the introduction that they are interested in the case of $\alpha > 0$. But, the dimension that they get for $\alpha > 0$ is the same as $\alpha = 0$ which is weaker than what is expected.
- the quasi-linear Lane-Emden equation, (1) with $\alpha = 0, p > 2$ and $F(u) = u^q$ for $q > p-1$, by Damascelli et al. in [8].

Proof of Theorem 1.4. The proof is an adaptation of the proof of Theorem 1.9 and Proposition 10.1 in [8].
Step 1: It's straightforward to observe the following Pohozaev type identity holds on any $\Omega \subset \mathbb{R}^N$.

$$\frac{N+\alpha}{q+1}\int_\Omega |x|^\alpha u^{q+1} - \frac{N-p}{p}\int_\Omega |\nabla u|^p = \frac{1}{q+1}\int_{\partial\Omega} |x|^\alpha u^{q+1} x\cdot\nu + \int_{\partial\Omega} |\nabla u|^{p-2} x\cdot\nabla u\; u_\nu - \frac{1}{p}\int_{\partial\Omega} |\nabla u|^p x\cdot\nu. \tag{38}$$

Step 2: Estimates $|\nabla u| \in L^p(\mathbb{R}^N)$ and $|x|^\alpha u^{q+1} \in L^1(\mathbb{R}^N)$ hold. To prove this, we use (14) with the following test function $\xi_R \in C_c^1(\mathbb{R}^N \setminus \Sigma)$ for $R > R_0 + 3$ and $\Sigma \subset B_{R_0}$;

$$\xi_R(x) := \begin{cases} 0, & \text{if } |x| < R_0 + 1; \\ 1, & \text{if } R_0 + 2 < |x| < R; \\ 0, & \text{if } |x| > 2R; \end{cases}$$

which satisfies $0 \le \xi_R \le 1$, $||\nabla\xi_R||_{L^\infty(B_{2R}\setminus B_R)} < \frac{C}{R}$ and $||\nabla\xi_R||_{L^\infty(B_{R_0+2}\setminus B_{R_0+1})} < C_{R_0}$. Therefore,

$$\int_{R_0+2<|x|<R} (|\nabla u|^p u^{t-1} + |x|^\alpha u^{t+q}) \le C_{R_0} + \hat{C}\; R^{N - \frac{p(t+q)}{q-p+1} - \frac{t+p-1}{q-p+1}\alpha},$$

for all $1 \le t < -1 + 2\frac{q+\sqrt{q(q-p+1)}}{p-1}$. Now, set $t = 1$ and send $R \to \infty$. Since $N < \frac{p(q+\alpha+1)}{q-p+1}$, we see $\int_{\mathbb{R}^N} |\nabla u|^p < \infty$ and $\int_{\mathbb{R}^N} |x|^\alpha u^{q+1} < \infty$.

Step 3: This equality holds

$$(\frac{N-p}{p} - \frac{N+\alpha}{q+1})\int_{\mathbb{R}^N} |x|^\alpha u^{q+1} = 0.$$

Multiply (1) with $u\zeta_R$ for $\zeta_R \in C_c^1(\mathbb{R}^N)$ which satisfies $0 \le \zeta_R \le 1$, $||\nabla\zeta_R||_\infty < \frac{C}{R}$ and

$$\zeta_R(x) := \begin{cases} 1, & \text{if } |x| < R; \\ 0, & \text{if } |x| > 2R. \end{cases}$$

Then, integrate over B_{2R} to get

$$\int_{B_{2R}} |x|^\alpha u^{q+1}\zeta_R - \int_{B_{2R}} |\nabla u|^p \zeta_R = \int_{B_{2R}} |\nabla u|^{p-2}\nabla\zeta_R \cdot \nabla u\; u. \tag{39}$$

By Hölder's inequality, we have the following upper bound for R.H.S. of (39),

$$\begin{aligned} |\int_{B_{2R}} |\nabla u|^{p-2}\nabla\zeta_R\cdot\nabla u\; u| &\le R^{-1}\int_{B_{2R}} |\nabla u|^{p-1}(|x|^{\frac{\alpha}{q+1}} u)\; |x|^{-\frac{\alpha}{q+1}} \\ &\le R^{-1}\left(\int_{B_{2R}} |\nabla u|^p\right)^{\frac{p-1}{p}} \left(\int_{B_{2R}} |x|^\alpha u^{q+1}\right)^{\frac{1}{q+1}} \left(\int_{B_{2R}} |x|^{-\frac{\alpha p}{q-p+1}}\right)^{\frac{q-p+1}{p(q+1)}} \\ &= R^{\frac{N(q-p+1)}{p(q+1)} - \frac{\alpha}{q+1} - 1}\left(\int_{B_{2R}} |\nabla u|^p\right)^{\frac{p-1}{p}} \left(\int_{B_{2R}} |x|^\alpha u^{q+1}\right)^{\frac{1}{q+1}}. \end{aligned}$$

Therefore, from Step 2, there exists a positive constant C independent of R such that

$$|\int_{B_{2R}} |\nabla u|^{p-2}\nabla\zeta_R \cdot \nabla u\ u| \quad \leq \quad C\ R^{\frac{N(q-p+1)-p(\alpha+q+1)}{p(q+1)}}.$$

Since $N < \frac{p(q+\alpha+1)}{q-p+1}$, we have $\lim_{R\to\infty} |\int_{B_{2R}} |\nabla u|^{p-2}\nabla\zeta_R \cdot \nabla u\ u| = 0$. Hence (39) implies

$$\int_{\mathbb{R}^N} |\nabla u|^p = \int_{\mathbb{R}^N} |x|^\alpha u^{q+1}. \tag{40}$$

Now, set $\Omega = B_R$ for $R \geq 1$ in (38). Therefore, estimates in Step 2, i.e.,

$$\int_0^\infty \int_{|x|=R} |\nabla u|^p < \infty \ \text{ and } \ \int_0^\infty R^\alpha \int_{|x|=R} u^{q+1} < \infty,$$

imply that R.H.S. of the Pohozaev identity, (38), converges to zero if $R \to \infty$. Hence,

$$\frac{N-p}{p}\int_{\mathbb{R}^N} |\nabla u|^p = \frac{N+\alpha}{q+1}\int_{\mathbb{R}^N} |x|^\alpha u^{q+1}.$$

From this and (40), we finish the proof of Step 3.

For the second part of the theorem, i.e. the critical case $q = \frac{N(p-1)+p(\alpha+1)}{N-p}$, $N > p$, the function u_ϵ defined by (5) satisfies

$$\begin{aligned} u_\epsilon^{q-1}(|x|) &\leq C \left(\frac{1}{|x|}\right)^{\frac{N(p-2)+p}{p-1}+\frac{p+\alpha}{p-1}}, \\ |\nabla u_\epsilon|^{p-2}(|x|) &\geq C \left(\frac{1}{|x|}\right)^{\frac{(N-1)(p-2)}{p-1}}, \end{aligned}$$

for every $|x| > R_0$, where R_0 is large enough and the positive constant C does not depend on $|x|$. Since $p + \alpha > 0$, for a given $\delta > 0$ and $|x| > R_0$, we get

$$\begin{aligned} u_\epsilon^{q-1}(|x|) &\leq \delta \left(\frac{1}{|x|}\right)^{\theta}, \\ |\nabla u_\epsilon|^{p-2}(|x|) &\geq C \left(\frac{1}{|x|}\right)^{\theta-2}, \end{aligned}$$

where $\theta := \frac{N(p-2)+p}{p-1}$. Now, one can apply weighted Hardy's inequality over $\mathbb{R}^N \setminus B_{R_0}$, i.e.,

$$\left(\frac{N-\theta}{2}\right)^2 \int_{\mathbb{R}^N\setminus B_{R_0}} \frac{\phi^2}{|x|^\theta} \leq \int_{\mathbb{R}^N\setminus B_{R_0}} \frac{|\nabla\phi|^2}{|x|^{\theta-2}},$$

to see u_ϵ is stable outside $\overline{B_{R_0}}$. Note that δ can be chosen sufficiently small and for $N > p$ we have $N > \theta$.

□

Applying the Harnack's inequality, Lemma 2.5, we can get some point-wise and decay estimates for stable solutions of (1) with three different non-linearities.

Theorem 2.2. *Assume that Ω is a (bounded or unbounded) proper subset of $\mathbb{R}^N$ and $f(x) = dist(x, \partial\Omega)^\alpha$. Then, we have the following point-wise estimates for stable weak solutions $u \in C^{1,\gamma}(\Omega)$ of (1) in Ω.*

(i) Let $F(u) = e^u$. Then, in dimensions $p < N < \frac{4p}{p-1} + p$ we have

$$e^{u(x)} \leq C\ dist(x, \partial\Omega)^{-(p+\alpha)}, \quad \forall x \in \Omega.$$

(ii) Let $F(u) = u^q$ *for* $q > p-1$. *Then, in dimensions* $\frac{p(q+\alpha+1)}{q-p+1} < N < \frac{q-1}{q-p+1}p + \frac{q+\sqrt{q(q-p+1)}}{(p-1)(q-p+1)}2p$, *we have*

$$u(x) \leq C \ dist(x, \partial\Omega)^{-\frac{p+\alpha}{q-p+1}}, \qquad \forall x \in \Omega.$$

(iii) Let $F(u) = -u^q$ *for* $q < 0$. *Then, in dimensions* $\frac{p(q-1)+\alpha(p-2)}{q-p+1} < N < \frac{q-1}{q-p+1}p + \frac{q-\sqrt{q(q-p+1)}}{(p-1)(q-p+1)}2p$, *we have*

$$u(x)^{-1} \leq C \ dist(x, \partial\Omega)^{\frac{p+\alpha}{q-p+1}}, \qquad \forall x \in \Omega.$$

The constant C *just depends on* p, N *and* α.

Proof of Theorem 2.2: Proof is similar to the proof of Theorem 1.3.
(i) For fixed $y \in \Omega$, choose $R > 1$ such that $dist(y, \partial\Omega) = 4R$ and $B_{2R}(y) \subset \Omega$. Then, apply Lemma 2.4 with the test function of the form $\phi_R(x) = \zeta_R(|x-y|)$, where $\zeta_R \in C_c^1(\Omega)$ is the standard test function that we have used before and satisfies

$$\zeta_R(x) = \begin{cases} 1, & \text{if } |x| < R; \\ 0, & \text{if } |x| > 2R; \end{cases}$$

with $0 \leq \zeta_R \leq 1$ and $||\nabla\zeta_R||_\infty \leq \frac{\hat{C}}{R}$. Therefore,

$$\int_{B_R(y)} f(x) e^{(2t+1)u} \leq C \int_{B_{2R}(y)} f(x)^{-2t} |\nabla\zeta_R|^{p(2t+1)}. \tag{41}$$

Since $2R \leq dist(y, \partial\Omega) - |x-y| \leq dist(x, \partial\Omega) \leq |x-y| + dist(y, \partial\Omega) \leq 6R$, we get

$$\int_{B_R(y)} f(x) e^{(2t+1)u} \leq C R^{N-2t\alpha-p(2t+1)}, \tag{42}$$

where $0 < t < \frac{2}{p-1}$. To apply the Harnack's inequality, again set $w := e^u$ and observe that w is a positive sub-solution of $-\Delta_p w = a(x) w^{p-1}$ for $a(x) = f(x)e^u$ in $B_{2R}(y)$. Therefore, Lemma 2.5 for $\beta := \frac{N+\alpha}{p+\alpha} > 1$ gives us

$$\begin{aligned} \sup_{B_{2R}(y)} e^u &\leq C_H R^{-\frac{N}{\beta}} \left(\int_{|x-y|<2R} e^{\frac{N+\alpha}{p+\alpha}u} \right)^{\frac{1}{\beta}} \\ &\leq C'_H R^{-\frac{N}{\beta}} R^{-\frac{\alpha}{\beta}} \left(\int_{|x-y|<2R} f(x) e^{\frac{N+\alpha}{p+\alpha}u} \right)^{\frac{1}{\beta}}. \end{aligned}$$

To get an upper bound for R.H.S., set $t_1 := \frac{N-p}{2(p+\alpha)}$ in (42). Here, we have used $0 < \frac{N-p}{2(p+\alpha)} < \frac{2}{p-1}$. So,

$$\sup_{B_{2R}(y)} e^u \leq C''_H R^{-(p+\alpha)}, \tag{43}$$

where C''_H just depends on N, p, α and $R^\epsilon ||f(x)e^u||_{L^{\frac{N}{p-\epsilon}}(B_{2R}(y))}$. But, by taking $t_2 := \frac{N}{2(p-\epsilon)} - \frac{1}{2}$ in (42) for ϵ small enough, we get

$$R^\epsilon ||f(x)e^u||_{L^{\frac{N}{p-\epsilon}}(B_{2R}(y))} \leq C R^\epsilon R^{(\frac{N}{p-\epsilon}\alpha - \alpha)\frac{p-\epsilon}{N}} \left(\int_{B_{2R}(y)} f(x) e^{\frac{N}{p-\epsilon}u} \right)^{\frac{p-\epsilon}{N}} \leq C',$$

where C' only depends on N, p and α. Note that we have used $0 < \frac{N-p}{2p} < \frac{2}{p-1}$.
Finally, from $4R = dist(y, \partial\Omega)$ and (43), we obtain

$$e^{u(y)} \leq C_{N,p,\alpha} dist(y, \partial\Omega)^{-(p+\alpha)}.$$

(ii) For the same R and y defined in part (i), we have

$$\int_{B_R(y)} f(x)u^{t+q} \le cR^{N-\frac{t+p-1}{q-p+1}\alpha-\frac{t+q}{q-p+1}p}, \tag{44}$$

for $1 \le t < -1+2\frac{q+\sqrt{q(q-p+1)}}{p-1}$. Since u is a positive solution of $-\Delta_p u = a(x)u^{p-1}$ where $a(x) = f(x)u^{q-p+1}$, the Harnack's inequality with $\tilde{\beta} := \frac{N+\alpha}{p+\alpha}(q-p+1) > 1$ gives us

$$\begin{aligned}
\sup_{B_{2R}(y)} u &\le C_H R^{-\frac{N}{\tilde\beta}} \left(\int_{|x-y|<R} u^{\tilde\beta}\right)^{\frac{1}{\tilde\beta}} \\
&\le \hat{C}_H R^{-\frac{N}{\tilde\beta}} R^{-\frac{\alpha}{\tilde\beta}} \left(\int_{|x-y|<R} f(x) u^{\frac{N+\alpha}{p+\alpha}(q-p+1)}\right)^{\frac{1}{\tilde\beta}}.
\end{aligned}$$

Now, set $t_1 := \frac{(N+\alpha)(q-p+1)}{p+\alpha} - q$ in (44) to get a uniform bound, independent of R, for R.H.S. of the above inequality and then by the definition of $\tilde\beta$ observe that

$$\sup_{B_{2R}(y)} u \le \hat{C}_H R^{-\frac{p+\alpha}{q-p+1}}.$$

Note that here we have a restriction on dimensions, i.e. $1 \le \frac{(N+\alpha)(q-p+1)}{p+\alpha} - q < -1 + 2\frac{q+\sqrt{q(q-p+1)}}{p-1}$. To show $\hat{C}_H$ does not depend on ϵ and R, set $t_2 := \frac{N}{p-\epsilon}(q-p+1) - q$ in (44) for small enough ϵ. Here, we have restricted dimensions to $1 < \frac{N}{p}(q-p+1) - q < -1 + 2\frac{q+\sqrt{q(q-p+1)}}{p-1}$.

(iii) By the same idea as in part (ii), (44) holds for all $1 \le -t < 1 + 2\frac{-q+\sqrt{q(q-p+1)}}{p-1}$. Function $w := u^{-1}$ is a positive weak sub-solution for $-\Delta_p w = a(x)w^{p-1}$, where $a(x) = u^{q-p+1}$. Apply Harnack's inequality with $\hat\beta := -\frac{N+\alpha}{p+\alpha}(q-p+1) > 1$ to get

$$\sup_{B_{2R}(y)} w \le \tilde{C}_H R^{-\frac{N}{\hat\beta}} R^{-\frac{\alpha}{\hat\beta}} \left(\int_{|x-y|<R} f(x) u^{\frac{N+\alpha}{p+\alpha}(q-p+1)}\right)^{\frac{1}{\hat\beta}}.$$

Set the same t_1 as in part (ii) in (44) and substitute the value of $\hat\beta$ to get

$$\sup_{B_{2R}(y)} w \le \tilde{C}_H R^{\frac{p+\alpha}{q-p+1}}.$$

Here, we need to restrict dimensions to $1 \le -\frac{(N+\alpha)(q-p+1)}{p+\alpha} + q < 1 + 2\frac{-q+\sqrt{q(q-p+1)}}{p-1}$. Moreover, to show $\tilde{C}_H$ does not depend on R and ϵ, we need dimensions to be in this range $1 < -\frac{N}{p}(q-p+1) + q < 1 + 2\frac{-q+\sqrt{q(q-p+1)}}{p-1}$. □

For stable outside a compact set solutions of (1), one can get the following similar estimate.

Theorem 2.3. *Assume $u \in C^{1,\gamma}(\mathbb{R}^N)$ is a solution of (1) which is stable outside a compact set Σ, then we have the following decays.*

(i) For $F(u) = e^u$ in dimensions $p < N < \frac{4p}{p-1} + p$, we have

$$\lim_{|x|\to\infty} |x|^{p+\alpha} e^{u(x)} = 0.$$

(ii) *For* $F(u) = u^q$ *with* $q > p-1$ *in dimensions* $\frac{p(q+\alpha+1)}{q-p+1} < N < \frac{q-1}{q-p+1}p + \frac{q+\sqrt{q(q-p+1)}}{(p-1)(q-p+1)}2p$, *we have*

$$\lim_{|x|\to\infty} |x|^{\frac{p+\alpha}{q-p+1}} u(x) = 0.$$

(iii) *For* $F(u) = -u^q$ *with* $q < 0$ *in dimensions* $\frac{p(q-1)+\alpha(p-2)}{q-p+1} < N < \frac{q-1}{q-p+1}p + \frac{q-\sqrt{q(q-p+1)}}{(p-1)(q-p+1)}2p$, *we have*

$$\lim_{|x|\to\infty} |x|^{-\frac{p+\alpha}{q-p+1}} u(x)^{-1} = 0.$$

Proof of Theorem 2.3: The main idea has been given in Theorem 2.2 and Theorem 1.3.

□

Applying various form of Harnack's inequality, similar estimates have been derived in the absence of stability for some quasi-linear equations and inequalities in the celebrated paper [30] by Serrin and Zou in lower dimensions.

Acknowledgement. I would like to thank Professor Nassif Ghoussoub, my supervisor, for his valuable comments.

References

[1] X. Cabré, A. Capella; *On the stability of radial solutions of semi-linear elliptic equations in all of* $\mathbb{R}^N$, C. R. Math. Acad. Sci. Paris 338 (2004) 769-774.

[2] X. Cabré, A. Cepella; *Regularity of radial minimizers and extremal solutions of semilinear elliptic equations*, J. Funct. Anal. 238 (2006), no. 2, 709-733.

[3] X. Cabré, A. Capella, M. Sanchón; *Regularity of radial minimizers of reaction equations involving the p-Laplacian*, Calc. Var. Partial Differential Equations 34 (2009), no. 4, 475-494.

[4] L. Caffarelli, B. Gidas, J. Spruck; *Asymptotic symmetry and local behavior of semilinear elliptic equations with critical Sobolev growth*, Comm. Pure Appl. Math. 42 (1989), no. 3, 271-297.

[5] D. Castorina, P. Esposito, B. Sciunzi; *Low dimensional instability for semilinear and quasilinear problems in* $\mathbb{R}^N$, Commun. Pure Appl. Anal. 8 (2009), no. 6, 1779-1793.

[6] C. Cowan, N. Ghoussoub; *Estimates on pull-in distances in microelectromechanical systems models and other nonlinear eigenvalue problems*, SIAM J. Math. Anal. 42, no. 5 (2010), 1949-1966.

[7] C. Cowan, M. Fazly; *On stable entire solutions of semi-linear elliptic equations with weights*, to appear in Proc. Amer. Math. Soc., (2011).

[8] L. Damascelli, A. Farina, B. Sciunzi, E. Valdinoci; *Liouville results for m-Laplace equations of Lane-Emden-Fowler type*, Ann. Inst. H. Poincaré - AN 26 (2009), no. 4, 1099-1119.

[9] E. N. Dancer, A. Farina; *On the classification of solutions of* $-\Delta u = e^u$ *on* $\mathbb{R}^N$*: stability outside a compact set and applications*, Proc. Amer. Math. Soc. 137 (2009), no. 4, 1333-1338.

[10] Y. Du, Z. Guo; *Positive solutions of an elliptic equation with negative exponent: stability and critical power,* J. Diff. Eq. 246 (2009), no. 6, 2387-2414.

[11] L. Dupaigne, A. Farina; *Stable solutions of* $-\Delta u = f(u)$ *in* $\mathbb{R}^N$, J. Eur. Math. Soc. (JEMS) 12 (2010), no. 4, 855-882.

[12] P. Esposito; *Linear instability of entire solutions for a class of non-autonomous elliptic equations,* Proc. Roy. Soc. Edinburgh Sect. A 138 (2008), no. 5, 1005-1018.

[13] P. Esposito; *Compactness of a nonlinear eigenvalue problem with a singular nonlinearity,* Commun. Contemp. Math. 10 (2008), no. 1, 17-45.

[14] P. Esposito, N. Ghoussoub, Y. Guo; *Compactness along the branch of semistable and unstable solutions for an elliptic problem with a singular nonlinearity.* Comm. Pure Appl. Math. 60 (2007), no. 12, 1731-1768.

[15] P. Esposito, N. Ghoussoub, Y. Guo; *Mathematical analysis of partial differential equations modeling electrostatic MEMS*, Courant Lecture Notes in Mathematics, 20. Courant Institute of Mathematical Sciences, New York; American Mathematical Society, Providence, RI, 2010. xiv+318 pp.

[16] A. Farina; *On the classification of solutions of the Lane-Emden equation on unbounded domains of* $\mathbb{R}^N$, J. Math. Pures Appl. (9) 87 (2007), no. 5, 537-561.

[17] A. Farina; *Stable solutions of* $-\Delta u = e^u$ *on* $\mathbb{R}^N$, C. R. Math. Acad. Sci. Paris 345 (2007), no. 2, 63-66.

[18] M. Fazly, N. Ghoussoub; *On the Hénon-Lane-Emden conjecture,* preprint, 20 pages.

[19] N. Ghoussoub, Y. J. Guo: *On the Partial Differential Equations of Electrostatic MEMS Devices: Stationary Case,* SIAM J. Math. Anal., Vol. 38, No. 5, (2007) p. 1423-1449.

[20] N. Ghoussoub, C. Yuan; *Multiple Solutions for Quasi-linear PDEs Involving the Critical Sobolev and Hardy Exponents*, Trans. Amer. Math. Soc. 352 (2000), 5703-5743.

[21] B. Gidas, J. Spruck; *Global and local behavior of positive solutions of nonlinear elliptic equations*, Commun. Pure Appl. Math. 34 (1981) 525-598.

[22] B. Gidas, J. Spruck; *A priori bounds for positive solutions of nonlinear elliptic equations,* Comm. Partial Differential Equations 6 (1981), no. 8, 883-901.

[23] D. Gilbarg, N. S. Trudinger; *Elliptic partial differential equations of second order*, Springer-Verlag, Berlin, 2001. xiv+517 pp.

[24] Y. Guo, Z. Pan, M. J. Ward; *Touchdown and pull-in voltage behavior of a MEMS device with varying dielectric properties*, SIAM J. Appl. Math., 66 (2005), 309-338.

[25] M. Hénon, *Numerical experiments on the stability of spherical stellar systems,* Astron. Astrophys. 24 (1973) 229-238.

[26] W. M. Ni; *A nonlinear Dirichlet problem on the unit ball and its applications,* Indiana Univ. Math. J. 31 (1982), no. 6, 801-807.

[27] Q. H. Phan, Ph. Souplet; *Liouville-type theorems and bounds of solutions of Hardy-Hénon equations*, preprint.

[28] P. Poláčik, P. Quittner, Ph. Souplet; *Singularity and decay estimates in superlinear problems via Liouville-type theorems,* Part I: Elliptic equations and systems, Duke Math. J. 139 (2007) 555-579.

[29] J. Serrin; *Local behavior of solutions of quasi-linear elliptic equations*, Acta Math. 111 (1964) 247-302.

[30] J. Serrin, H. Zou; *Cauchy-Liouville and universal boundedness theorems for quasilinear elliptic equations and inequalities* , Acta Mathematica, 189 (2002) 79-142.

[31] S. Villegas; *Asymptotic behavior of stable radial solutions of semilinear elliptic equations in* $\mathbb{R}^N$, J. Math. Pures Appl. (9) 88 (2007), no. 3, 241-250.

[32] X. Wang, *On the Cauchy Problem for Reaction-Diffusion Equations,* Tran. Amer. Math. Soc., Vol. 337, No. 2 (1993), 549-590.